

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/600,298	06/20/2003	Julian N. Nikolchev	016355-002580US	6671	
James Scheller,	590 02/26/2007 Fsq. :		EXAM	INER	
Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP			BROWN, MICHAEL A		
1279 Oakmead I Sunnyvale, CA 9	-		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			3772		
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	· DELIVERY MODE		
3 MON	ITHS	02/26/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	IA	pplicant(s)				
	10/600,298		IKOLCHEV ET AL.	•			
Office Action Summary	Examiner		rt Unit				
				•			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Michael Brown		772 respondence addres	s			
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	PATE OF THIS CO 136(a). In no event, how will apply and will expire e, cause the application t	OMMUNICATION. ever, may a reply be timely SIX (6) MONTHS from the become ABANDONED (3)	filed mailing date of this commur 35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	.	•					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under l	Ex parte Quayle,	1935 C.D. 11, 453	O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	·						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 12-21,35-37 and 82-105 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) 12-18 and 35-36 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 19-21,37 and 82-105 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consider	ation.					
Application Papers							
(9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er. ·						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc		ected to by the Exa	aminer.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if th	e drawing(s) is objec	ted to. See 37 CFR 1.	121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the	attached Office Ac	tion or form PTO-1	52.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been receits have been receits have been received and the second states that the second states are second so that the second states are second so that the second	eived. eived in Application ave been received ((a)).	No	je			
		•					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗌	Interview Summary (P)	•				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date AUADS	· -	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Informal Pate Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/600,298

Art Unit: 3772

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 19-21, 37 and 82-105 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mariant' 027 in view of Hess '978, along with Heaven.

Mariant discloses in figures 1-6 a tubular structure 11, having a first end, a second end and a lumen 16 and a tissue ingrowth element 12. However, Mariant doesn't disclose the tubular element being able to expand from a first configuration to a second configuration, the tubular structure including a lattice framework or the tubular structure having an open wall. Hess teaches in figures 1-13 a heat recoverable tissue supporting device comprising a tubular member 10 that is expandable from a first configuration to a second configuration, having a lattice framework (16, 18) and an open wall structure (fig. 1). The tubular member can be made of copper alloy (col. 1, lines 50-52). Heaven teaches in figure 6-9 a tubular structure made of a copper alloy (col. 1, lines 48-55). The tubular member is expandable from a first configuration to a second smaller configuration. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time that the invention was made that the tubular member disclosed by Mariant could be fabricated to expand from a first configuration to a second configuration as taught by Hess to allow the tubular member to occlude a passage in the body. The

Application/Control Number: 10/600,298

Art Unit: 3772

open framework would allow tissue to grow into the tubular member and the ingowth element would allow tissue to grow around the tubular element. The copper alloy as taught by Heaven would allow the tubular member disclosed by Mariant to be formed of copper and to expand from a first smaller configuration to a second larger configuration.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 12-18 and 35-36 are allowed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Brown whose telephone number is 571-272-4972. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30 am-4:00 pm Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patricia Bianco can be reached on 571-272-4940. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

M. Brown

February 20, 2007

MICHAEL A. BROWN PRIMARY EXAMINER